## Time and Size-Resolved Chemical Composition of Submicron Particles in Pittsburgh - Implications for Aerosol Sources and Processes

Qi Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Manjula R. Canagaratna<sup>3</sup>, John T. Jayne<sup>3</sup>, Douglas R. Worsnop<sup>3</sup>, and Jose-Luis Jimenez<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Cooperative Institute for Research in Environmental Sciences (CIRES) and 2Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, 216 UCB, University of Colorado-Boulder, Boulder, Colorado 80309-0216

<sup>3</sup>Aerodyne Research Inc, Billerica, Massachusetts 01821-3976

J. Geophys. Res., 110 (D07S09), doi:10.1029/2004JD004649, 2005.

Figure Captions:

Figure S1. Variations of meteorological variables and gas phase species during Sept. 7 - 22, 2002.


Figure S2. Correlation between the mass concentrations of NR-PM1 species measured by the AMS, colored by time. The red lines are the fits to the data.

Figure S3. Particle number and apparent volume distributions measured by the SMPS. The apparent volume is calculated from the number distribution with the assumption of spherical particles.


Figure S4. Colored image plots of the mass concentrations of total, sulfate, ammonium, nitrate and organics as a function of time and particle size. Gray areas on the plots are due to either occasional instrumental malfunction or maintenance/calibration; white areas are due to the omission of data points that are below the 2 detection limits of the AMS.


Figure S5. Average daily size distributions of particle species during Sept. 7 - 22, 2002.

Figure S6. Average daily AMS mass spectra of particle species during Sept. 7 - 22, 2002









```
Figure S4
```





Figure S5






Figure S6

m/z